

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

Absolute Rating Improvement Rating **Adequate Yearly Progress** 2001 N/A N/A Average Good Good N/A 2002 Below Average 2003 Good N/A 2004

| CRITERIA | DATA |
|--|--------------|
| GED Completion Rate | 68% |
| Challenge Phase Completion | 76% |
| Challenge Phase Dismissal | 24% |
| Community Service Hours | 66 |
| Average Growth in Reading for those ineligible to participate in GED Testing | 20 Months |
| Average Growth in Math for those ineligible to participate in GED Testing | 20 Months |

Wil Lou Gray Opportunity School

SCHOOL PROFILE

SACS accreditation

| | | Our School | Change from Last Year |
|---|----------------|------------|--------------------------|
| | | | |
| Students (n=335) | | | |
| Average daily attendance | (Cycle 00 1) | 156 | Up 47 |
| | (Cycle 00 2) | 138 | Up 29 |
| With disabilities other than speech | | 13% | Down 3 |
| Older than usual for grade | | 0 | Same |
| Suspended or expelled | | 6% | Same |
| Annual dropout rate | | 24% | Up 1 |
| Percentage Meeting Presidential Phys | | 100% | Same |
| Percentage Completing Computer Li | | 100% | Same |
| Percentage Dismissed following Pre- | | 24% | Up 1 |
| Percentage Participating in Work Bas | sed Activities | 21% | Up 4 |
| | | | |
| Teachers (n=16) | | | |
| Teachers with advanced degrees | | 100% | Same |
| Continuing contract teachers | | 100% | Same |
| Highly qualified teachers | | N/A | N/C |
| Teachers returning from the previous year | | 100% | Same |
| Teacher attendance rate | | 186.2 | Up 1.4 |
| Average teacher salary | | \$46,013 | Up by \$1,620 |
| Professional development days | | 10 | Same |
| | | | |
| School | | | |
| Lead Instructor's years at school | | 6 | Up 1 |
| Student-teacher ratio | (Cycle 00 1) | 22 | Up 6 |
| | (Cycle 00 2) | 20 | Up 4 |
| Prime instructional time | (Cycle 00 1) | 97.0% | Down .5 |
| | (Cycle 00 2) | 99.0% | Up 2 |
| Dollars spent per pupil | | \$19,669 | Down by \$7,264 |
| Percent spent on teacher salaries | | 40.6% | Up 3.7 |
| Opportunities in the arts | | 32% | Up 7 |
| Parent s attending conferences | | 100% | Same |

N/C

No

Wil Lou Gray Opportunity School

REPORT OF DIRECTOR

For more than eighty (80) years the Wil Lou Gray Opportunity School has served the people of South Carolina. From its founding in 1921 by the late Dr. Wil Lou Gray, to the present day, the agency has educated and provided vocational and life skills training to thousands of citizens of our State. Throughout that time the agency has adapted its mission to meet the critical needs of the day.

The Opportunity School continues to offer traditional academic and vocational training, social and life skills improvement, and employability enhancement. The Youth Challenge Academy offers participants a structured lifestyle with an emphasis on becoming self-reliant through a disciplined, military-style environment. The Challenge Academy is premised upon leadership, teamwork and self-discipline as taught and modeled within a quasi-military framework. While the delivery method of the Wil Lou Gray Opportunity School has been reshaped, our theme of motivating the "at-risk" student into a productive, employable young adult remains unchanged.

There are more than twenty similar Challenge programs operating throughout the nation. The performance of our cadets on the TABE has been exemplary, which is reflected in our average growth rate.

As we prepare our cadets to return to their communities as productive citizens, they are exposed to many types of career choices. Some of these career choices allow them to get experience as teachers' aid; carpet layers; foodservice workers; and auto mechanics. An eight week program sponsored by Hanson Brick results in the cadets becoming a qualified bricklayer.

We also participated in Habitat for Humanity, Harvest Hope Food Bank, Congaree River Clean-up, and many other activities that have made a positive impact on the community.

Nine of our cadets took the Scholastic Aptitude Test and achieved an average score of 943 points, with three scoring over 1,000 points.

| EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|---------|--|--|
| | Teachers | Students | Parents | | |
| Number of surveys returned | 10 | 17 | 8 | | |
| Percent satisfied with learning environment | 90.0% | 82.4% | N/A | | |
| Percent satisfied with social and physical environment | 90.0% | 82.4% | N/A | | |
| Percent satisfied with home-school relations | N/A | 64.7% | N/A | | |

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.